precision begins to slightly yield from r=3. We can conclude that there is a really clear improvement of the results by using the DFFNN, this improvement is more sensitive on this database with regard to the first one.

From our two-step experiments, we have been able to show the superiority of the DFFNNs compared to the graph-based methods used in this context. Among the strong points, too, of our conduct is that the construction of such a model is very feasible also in a massive data context.

Table 8. Average accuracy (second database)

Second database	R = 1	R = 2	R = 3
KNN	-	90,58	-
LLE	-	95	-
TPWRLS	-	97,33	-
DFFNN	99,805	99,965	99,495

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have evaluated the DFFNN for the objects categorization with the cross-validation scheme that is commonly used in the domain of pattern recognition. Objects can be captured by either a surveillance camera or an onboard camera. In this work, we have presented a quantitative evaluation using the DFFNN and some graph-based methods schemes, applied, firstly to outdoor object categorization using a first public outdoor image dataset, and secondly, to object categorization using a second public dataset. From our twostep experiments, we have been able to show the superiority of the DFFNNs compared to the graph-based methods used in this context. Among the strong points, too, of our conduct is that the construction of such a model is very feasible also in a massive data context. It is in our perspective for future research to test this architecture with other LBP neighborhood types on a real data captured directly from a surveillance camera or an onboard camera.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albusac J, Castro-Schez JJ, López-López LM, Vallejo D, Jimenez-Linares L. (2009). A supervised learning approach to automate the acquisition of knowledge in surveillance systems. Signal Processing 89(12): 2400-2414.
- [2] Bengio Y. (2009). Learning deep architectures for AI. Foundations and trends® in Machine Learning 2(1): 1-127.
- [3] Cheng B, Yang J, Yan S, Fu Y, Huang TS. (2010). Learning With \$\ell^{1}\$ \$-Graph for Image Analysis. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 19(4): 858-866.
- [4] Cireşan DC, Meier U, Gambardella LM, Schmidhuber J. (2010). Deep, big, simple neural nets for handwritten digit recognition. Neural Computation 22(12): 3207-3220
- [5] Dornaika F, Bosaghzadeh A, Salmane H, Ruichek Y. (2014). A graph construction method using LBP selfrepresentativeness for outdoor object categorization. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 36: 294-302.
- [6] Dornaika F, Bosaghzadeh A, Salmane H, Ruichek Y. (2014). Graph-based semi-supervised learning with

- Local Binary Patterns for holistic object categorization. Expert Systems with Applications 41(17): 7744-7753.
- [7] Dornaika F, Bosaghzadeh A. (2015). Adaptive graph construction using data self-representativeness for pattern classification. Information Sciences 325: 118-139
- [8] Dornaika F, Moujahid A, El Merabet Y, Ruichek Y. (2016). Building detection from orthophotos using a machine learning approach: An empirical study on image segmentation and descriptors. Expert Systems with Applications 58: 130-142.
- [9] Geronimo D, Lopez AM, Sappa AD, Graf T. (2010). Survey of pedestrian detection for advanced driver assistance systems. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 32(7): 1239-1258.
- [10] Goodfellow I, Bengio Y, Courville A, Bengio Y. (2016). Deep learning. Cambridge: MIT press 1.
- [11] Hinton G, Deng L, Yu D, Dahl GE, Mohamed AR, Jaitly N, Kingsbury B. (2012). Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. IEEE Signal processing magazine 29(6): 82-97.
- [12] Huang D, Shan C, Ardabilian M, Wang Y, Chen L. (2011). Local binary patterns and its application to facial image analysis: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews) 41(6): 765-781.
- [13] Jebara T, Wang J, Chang SF. (2009). Graph construction and b-matching for semi-supervised learning. In Proceedings of the 26th annual international conference on machine learning 441-448. ACM.
- [14] Kim K, Chalidabhongse TH, Harwood D, Davis L. (2005). Real-time foreground–background segmentation using codebook model. Real-time imaging 11(3): 172-185.
- [15] Kim W, Kim C. (2012). Background subtraction for dynamic texture scenes using fuzzy color histograms. IEEE Signal processing letters 19(3): 127-130.
- [16] Lopez-Moreno I, Gonzalez-Dominguez J, Martinez D, Plchot O, Gonzalez-Rodriguez J, Moreno PJ. (2016). On the use of deep feedforward neural networks for automatic language identification. Computer Speech & Language 40: 46-59.
- [17] Mohamed AR, Dahl GE, Hinton G. (2012). Acoustic modeling using deep belief networks. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech & Language Processing 20(1): 14-22.
- [18] Pan P, Schonfeld D. (2011). Visual tracking using highorder particle filtering. IEEE Signal Processing Letters 18(1): 51-54.
- [19] Yu D, Deng L. (2011). Deep learning and its applications to signal and information processing [exploratory dsp]. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 28(1): 145-154.
- [20] Wright J, Yang AY, Ganesh A, Sastry SS, Ma Y. (2009). Robust face recognition via sparse representation. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 31(2): 210-227.
- [21] Zeiler MD, Ranzato M, Monga R, Mao M, Yang K, Le QV, Hinton GE. (2013). On rectified linear units for speech processing. In Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2013 IEEE International Conference on 3517-3521. IEEE.
- [22] Zikopoulos P, Eaton C. (2011). Understanding big data: Analytics for enterprise class hadoop and streaming data. McGraw-Hill Osborne Media.