

Reduction of real power loss by upgraded red shaver swarm optimization algorithm

Kanagasabai Lenin

Department of EEE, Prasad V. Potluri Siddhartha Institute of Technology, Kanuru, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh 520007, India

Corresponding Author Email: gklenin@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.18280/ama_c.730302

ABSTRACT

Received: 17 July 2018

Accepted: 28 August 2018

Keywords:

optimal reactive power, transmission loss, cockerel, upgraded red shaver swarm optimization

In this paper, an upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm is proposed for solving reactive power problem. Under cockerel as group-mate Red Shaver explores food; also it prevents the same ones to eat their own food. Red Shaver would arbitrarily pinch the high-quality food which has been already found by other Red Shaver & always overriding other individuals to grab more food. In the Projected upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm additional parameters of cockerel, hens and chicks are eliminated, in order to upsurge the search towards global optimization solution. Proposed Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm has been tested in standard IEEE 30 bus system. Simulation results show clearly the better performance of the proposed RS algorithm in reduction of real power loss.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of optimal reactive power problem is to reduce the actual power loss. Various techniques [1-7] have been utilized but have the complexity in handling constraints. Different types of evolutionary algorithms [8-19] have been utilized in various stages to solve the problem. But many limitations have been found in Exploration & Exploitation. This paper proposes Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm to solve reactive power problem. In this projected algorithm both exploration & exploitation has been augmented equally in order to reach near to global optimum solution. Red Shaver follows their cockerel to explore food. Overriding individuals have the lead to grab more food. In the region of the mother (hen [20]) Red shaver always searches for food. In the Projected Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm additional parameters of cockerel, hens and chicks are eliminated, in order to upsurge the search towards global optimization solution. Proposed Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm has been tested in standard IEEE 30 bus system. & real power loss reduced with voltage profiles within the limits.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The key objective of the reactive power problem is to minimize the system real power loss & is given as,

$$P_{loss} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{(i,j)} g_k (V_i^2 + V_j^2 - 2V_i V_j \cos \theta_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of transmission lines, g_k is the conductance of branch k, V_i and V_j are voltage magnitude at bus i and bus j, and θ_{ij} is the voltage angle difference between bus i and bus j.

Minimization of Voltage Deviation

voltage deviation magnitudes (VD) is stated as follows,

$$\text{Minimize VD} = \sum_{k=1}^{nl} |V_k - 1.0| \quad (2)$$

where nl is the number of load busses and V_k is the voltage magnitude at bus k.

System Constraints

Objective function has the following constraints as given below,

Load flow equality constraints:

$$P_{Gi} - P_{Di} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^{nb} V_j \begin{bmatrix} G_{ij} & \cos \theta_{ij} \\ +B_{ij} & \sin \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, nb \quad (3)$$

$$Q_{Gi} - Q_{Di} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^{nb} V_j \begin{bmatrix} G_{ij} & \sin \theta_{ij} \\ +B_{ij} & \cos \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, nb \quad (4)$$

Inequality constraints are:

$$V_{Gi}^{\min} \leq V_{Gi} \leq V_{Gi}^{\max}, i \in ng \quad (5)$$

$$V_{Li}^{\min} \leq V_{Li} \leq V_{Li}^{\max}, i \in nl \quad (6)$$

$$Q_{Ci}^{\min} \leq Q_{Ci} \leq Q_{Ci}^{\max}, i \in nc \quad (7)$$

$$Q_{Gi}^{\min} \leq Q_{Gi} \leq Q_{Gi}^{\max}, i \in ng \quad (8)$$

$$T_i^{\min} \leq T_i \leq T_i^{\max}, i \in nt \quad (9)$$

$$S_{Li}^{\min} \leq S_{Li} \leq S_{Li}^{\max}, i \in nl \quad (10)$$

3. RED SHAVER SWARM OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

Red Shaver swarm Optimization based on the Red Shaver behaviour & it can be articulated mathematically as follows.

$$y_{i,j}^{t+1} = y_{i,j}^t * (1 + Rand(0, \sigma^2)) \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & ft_i \leq ft_k \\ \exp\left(\frac{ft_k - ft_i}{|ft_i + \epsilon|}\right) & \text{other wise} \end{cases} \quad k \in [1, N], k \neq 1 \quad (12)$$

where $Rand(0, \sigma^2)$ is a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation σ^2 .

Dominant hens competing for food highly & formulated mathematically as follows,

$$y_{i,j}^{t+1} = y_{i,j}^t + G1 * Rand * (y_{r^1,j}^t - y_{i,j}^t) + G2 * Rand * (y_{r^2,j}^t - y_{i,j}^t) \quad (13)$$

$$G1 = \exp\left(\frac{ft_i - ft_{r^1}}{abs(ft_i) + \epsilon}\right) \quad (14)$$

$$G2 = \exp(ft_{r^2} - ft_i) \quad (15)$$

where $Rand$ is a uniform random number over $r^1 \in [0, 1]$, is an index of the cockerel, which is the i th hen's group-mate, while $r^2 \in [0, 1]$, is an index of the Red Shaver, which is arbitrarily chosen from the swarm $r^1 \neq r^2$.

Around the mother, chicks move to forage for food & formulated by,

$$y_{i,j}^{t+1} = y_{i,j}^t + FL * (y_{m,j}^t - y_{i,j}^t) \quad (16)$$

where $y_{m,j}^t$, stands for the position of the i -th chick's mother $m \in [1, N]$. $FL [FL \in (0,2)]$.

4. UPGRADED RED SHAVER SWARM OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

In the up gradation of the Red shaver optimization algorithm the parameters are tuned in the Exploration & Exploitation Step. It will augment the search & lead to a better solution.

Initialization of Population

Red Shaver swarm population are initialized by,

$$y_{i,j} = lb + Rand(ub - lb) \quad (17)$$

In exploration space, lb and ub are lower bound and upper bound.

Exploration Step

Each individual of Red Shaver population revamp their position and it formulated as,

$$y_{i,j}^* = y_{i,j} + G1 * Rand * (y_{l,j} - y_{i,j}) + G2 * Rand * (y_{n,j} - y_{i,j}) \quad (18)$$

With

$$G1 = \exp\left(\frac{(ft_i - ft_l)}{|ft_i + \epsilon|}\right) \quad (19)$$

$$G2 = \exp(ft_n - ft_i) \quad (20)$$

$y_l, y_n \in [1, N]$ is arbitrarily chosen form Red Shaver swarm with $y_i \neq y_l \neq y_n$.

Based on most excellent fitness value best individual of the global population is found & termed as $(y_{i,j}(g))$

Exploitation Step

The first step in Local optimum search is reduction of cockerel formula as follows.

$$y_{i,j}(**) = y_{i,j}(g) * (1 + Rand(0, \sigma^2)) \quad (21)$$

$$\sigma^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & ft_i(g) \leq ft_l(g) \\ \exp\left(\frac{ft_l(g) - ft_i(g)}{|ft_l(g) + \epsilon|}\right) & \text{other wise} \end{cases} \quad l \in [1, N](g), l \neq i \quad (22)$$

Most excellent fitness value solution is chosen as best individual & called as Local population

$I(y_{i,j}(l_1))$.

In concluding step of upgraded Red Shaver swarm optimization is to find more local optimum values as follows:

$$y_{i,j}(***) = y_{i,j}(l_1) + C * (y_{n,j}(l_1) - y_{i,j}(l_1)) \quad (23)$$

$y_n \in [1, N]$ is arbitrarily chosen from the local population I with $y_i \neq y_n$ and $C (C \in (0,2))$.

Solution which has most outstanding fitness value is chosen as best individual & called as local population $II(y_{i,j}(l_2))$.

Until the stopping criterion is met this population is used as the preliminary population for the ensuing iterations.

Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm for solving reactive power problem

a. By using equation (17) Initialize a population of N Red Shaver

b. N Red Shaver fitness value has been evaluated; $t = 0$

c. While $t < G$

d. For $i = 1; N$

aa. By equation (18) explore the global optimum & individual best global population $(y_{i,j}(g))$

bb. Local optimum has been exploited.

aaa. By equation (21) find first local optimum & individual best local population $I(y_{i,j}(l_1))$

bbb. By equation (23) find second local optimum & individual best local population $II(y_{i,j}(l_2))$

e. End For

End While

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

Validity of the proposed Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm has been verified by testing in standard IEEE 30-bus without considering Voltage stability evaluation. In Table 1 Control variables limits are given.

In Table 2 gives the power limits of generator buses.

Table 3 shows the values of control variables. Table 4 narrates the performance of the proposed algorithm. overall comparison of real power loss is given in Table 5.

Table 1. Primary variable limits (PU)

| List of Variables | Minimum limit | Maximum limit | Type |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Generator Bus | 0.9500 | 1.100 | Continuous |
| Load Bus | 0.9500 | 1.0500 | Continuous |
| Transformer-Tap | 0.9000 | 1.100 | Discrete |
| Shunt Reactive Compensator | -0.1100 | 0.3100 | Discrete |

Table 2. Power limits of the generator buses

| Bus | Pg | Pgminimum | Pgmaximum | Qgminimum | Qgmaximum |
|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | 96.000 | 49.00 | 200.00 | 0.00 | 10.0 |
| 2 | 79.000 | 18.00 | 79.00 | -40.00 | 50.00 |
| 5 | 49.000 | 14.00 | 49.00 | -40.00 | 40.00 |
| 8 | 21.000 | 11.00 | 31.00 | -10.00 | 40.00 |
| 11 | 21.000 | 11.0 | 28.0 | -6.000 | 24.00 |
| 13 | 21.000 | 11.0 | 39.0 | -6.000 | 24.00 |

Table 3. After optimization values of control variables

| List of Control Variables | RS |
|---------------------------|---------|
| V1 | 1.04320 |
| V2 | 1.04200 |
| V5 | 1.01920 |
| V8 | 1.02840 |
| V11 | 1.06920 |
| V13 | 1.04340 |
| T4,12 | 0.0000 |
| T6,9 | 0.0100 |
| T6,10 | 0.9000 |
| T28,27 | 0.9100 |
| Q10 | 0.1000 |
| Q24 | 0.1000 |
| Real power loss (MW) | 4.2674 |
| Voltage deviation | 0.9070 |

Table 4. Performance of RS algorithm

| | |
|----------------------|--------|
| Number of Iterations | 25 |
| Time taken in secs | 9.68 |
| Real power loss (MW) | 4.2674 |

Table 5. Comparison of Results

| List of Techniques | Real power loss (MW) |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| SGA [21] | 4.98 |
| PSO [22] | 4.9262 |
| LP [23] | 5.988 |
| EP [23] | 4.963 |
| CGA [23] | 4.980 |
| AGA [23] | 4.926 |
| CLPSO [23] | 4.7208 |
| HSA [24] | 4.7624 |
| BB-BC [25] | 4.690 |
| MCS [26] | 4.87231 |
| Proposed RS | 4.2674 |

6. CONCLUSION

Reactive power problem has been successfully solved by Upgraded Red Shaver swarm Optimization (RS) algorithm & it eliminated the additional parameters of cockerel, hens and chicks, also upsurgers the exploration in reaching the global optimization solution. Proposed Upgraded Red Shaver swarm

Optimization (RS) algorithm has been tested in standard IEEE 30 bus test system. Simulation results show the better performance of the RS algorithm in reduction of real power loss.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alsac O, Scott B. (1973). Optimal load flow with steady state security. IEEE Transaction. PAS -1973, 745-751.
- [2] Lee KY, Paru YM, Oritz JL. (1985). A united approach to optimal real and reactive power dispatch. IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems PAS-104, 1147-1153.
- [3] Monticelli A, Pereira MVF, Granville S. (1987). Security constrained optimal power flow with post contingency corrective rescheduling. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems: PWRS-2 (1): 175-182.
- [4] Deeb N, Shahidehpur SM. (1990). Linear reactive power optimization in a large power network using the decomposition approach. IEEE Transactions on Power System 5(2): 428-435.
- [5] Hobson E. (1980). Network constrained reactive power control using linear programming. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems PAS 99(4): 868-877.
- [6] Lee KY, Park YM, Oritz JL. (1984). Fuel -cost optimization for both real and reactive power dispatches. IEE Proc. 131C(3): 85-93.
- [7] Mangoli MK, Lee KY. (1993). Optimal real and reactive power control using linear programming. Electr. Power Syst. Re. 26: 1-10.
- [8] Anburaja K. (2002). Optimal power flow using refined genetic algorithm. Electr. Power Compon. Syst. 30: 1055-1063.
- [9] Berizzi A, Bovo C, Merlo M, Delfanti M. (2012). A GA approach to compare orpf objective functions including secondary voltage regulation. Electric Power Systems Research 84(1): 187-194.
- [10] Yang CF, Lai GG, Lee CH, Su CT, Chang GW. (2012). Optimal setting of reactive compensation devices with an improved voltage stability index for voltage stability enhancement. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems 37(1): 50-57.
- [11] Roy P, Ghoshal S, Thakur S. (2012). Optimal var control for improvements in voltage profiles and for real power loss minimization using biogeography based

- optimization. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 43(1): 830–838.
- [12] Venkatesh B, Sadasivam G, Khan M. (2000). A new optimal reactive power scheduling method for loss minimization and voltage stability margin maximization using successive multi-objective fuzzy lp technique. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 15(2): 844–851.
- [13] Yan W, Lu S, Yu D. (2004). A novel optimal reactive power dispatch method based on an improved hybrid evolutionary programming technique. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 19(2): 913–918.
- [14] Yan W, Liu F, Chung C, Wong K. (2006). A hybrid genetic algorithm interior point method for optimal reactive power flow. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 21(3): 1163–1169.
- [15] Yu J, Yan W, Li W, Chung C, Wong K. (2008). An unfixed piecewise optimal reactive power-flow model and its algorithm for ac-dc systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 23(1): 170–176.
- [16] Capitanescu F. (2011). Assessing reactive power reserves with respect to operating constraints and voltage stability. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 26(4): 2224–2234.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2011.2109741>
- [17] Hu Z, Wang X, Taylor G. (2010). Stochastic optimal reactive power dispatch: Formulation and solution method. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 32(6): 615–621.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2009.11.018>
- [18] Kargarian A, Raoofat M, Mohammadi M. (2012). Probabilistic reactive power procurement in hybrid electricity markets with uncertain loads. *Electric Power Systems Research* 82(1): 68–80.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2011.08.019>
- [19] Zhou J, Tang BG, Ren XW. (2017). Research on prediction model for icing thickness of transmission lines based on bp neural network optimized with improved fruit fly algorithm. *AMSE Journals-AMSE IIETA Publication Series: Advances* 60(1): 255-269.
- [20] Meng X, Liu Y, Gao X, Zhang H. (2014). A new bio-inspired algorithm: chicken swarm optimization. *Advances in Swarm Intelligence* SE 8794; 86–94.
- [21] Wu QH, Cao YJ, Wen JY. (1998). Optimal reactive power dispatch using an adaptive genetic algorithm. *Int. J. Elect. Power Energy Syst.* 20: 563-569.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615\(98\)00016-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615(98)00016-7)
- [22] Zhao B, Guo CX, Cao YJ. (2005). Multiagent-based particle swarm optimization approach for optimal reactive power dispatch. *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 20(2): 1070-1078.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2005.846064>
- [23] Mahadevan K, Kannan PS. (2010). Comprehensive learning particle swarm optimization for reactive power dispatch. *Applied Soft Computing* 10(2): 641–52.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2009.08.038>
- [24] Khazali AH, Kalantar M. (2011). Optimal reactive power dispatch based on harmony search algorithm. *Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 33(3): 684–692.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2010.11.018>
- [25] Sakthivel S, Gayathri M, Manimozhi V. (2013). A Nature inspired optimization algorithm for reactive power control in a power system. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering* 2(1): 29-33.
- [26] Tejaswini Sharma, Laxmi Srivastava, Shishir Dixit (2016). Modified Cuckoo Search Algorithm For Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch, *Proceedings of 38 th IRF International Conference*, pp. 4-8. Chennai, India, ISBN: 978-93-85973-76.